

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2025-81-137>

UDC 659.127.8:004.738.5

## TRUST SIGNALS IN DIGITAL BRANDING: VISUAL IDENTITY AND SOCIAL PROOF

## СИГНАЛИ ДОВІРИ В ЦИФРОВОМУ БРЕНДИНГУ: ВІЗУАЛЬНА ІДЕНТИЧНІСТЬ ТА СОЦІАЛЬНЕ ПІДТВЕРДЖЕННЯ

**Kucher Iuliia**Digital Marketing and Brand Strategy Specialist,  
Denix Group LLC, Aventura, Florida, USA  
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9266-2520>**Кучер Юлія Сергіївна**

Denix Group LLC, Аventura, Флорида, США

This article examines the nature and functioning of trust signals in digital branding, focusing on visual identity and social proof. The relevance of the study is driven by increasing consumer dependence on online platforms, where a brand's ability to establish credibility quickly is a decisive competitive advantage. The methodology is based on comparative analysis, structural modeling, and case studies of educational platforms. The author analyzes how design, logos, and social signals (reviews, endorsements) influence users. A three-tier architecture of trust is formulated, comprising visual, social, and institutional signals. Using Coursera as a case study, the article demonstrates the transformation of a brand into a trusted infrastructure. A framework for evaluating the effectiveness of trust signals is proposed, taking into account cultural contexts and ethical challenges. The results emphasize that digital trust is a function of alignment between visual coherence, social validation, and institutional integrity.

**Keywords:** digital branding, trust signals, visual identity, social proof, online education platforms, marketing companies, consulting strategies.

У статті проведено комплексне дослідження природи, структури та механізмів функціонування сигналів довіри в системі сучасного цифрового брендингу. Основну увагу зосереджено на аналізі взаємодії візуальної ідентичності та соціального підтвердження як ключових драйверів формування споживчої лояльності. Актуальність роботи зумовлена трансформацією цифрового середовища, де в умовах інформаційного переенасичення здатність бренду миттєво транслювати надійність через візуальні атрибути та верифікований соціальний досвід стає вирішальною конкурентною перевагою. Методологія дослідження ґрунтується на поєднанні порівняльного аналізу теоретичних джерел, структурного моделювання архітектури довіри та кейс-стаді глобальних освітніх платформ. Деталізовано вплив візуальних сигналів (логотипів, кольорової гами, ергономіки інтерфейсу) на когнітивні процеси сприйняття бренду. Окрему увагу приділено соціальним сигналам, зокрема ролі інфлюенсерів та електронного «сарафанного радіо» (eWOM), що функціонують як інструменти зниження споживчих ризиків. Досліджено етичні виміри брендингу, зокрема вплив корпоративної соціальної відповідальності (та безвідповідальності) на капітал бренду, а також роль етноцентризму у формуванні задоволеності локальними марками. Наукова новизна полягає у розробці інтегративної тривірневої рамки оцінки сигналів довіри: візуального, соціального та інституційного рівнів. На прикладі платформи Coursera продемонстровано механіку створення «інфраструктури довіри» через стратегічне партнерство та академічну валідацію. В контексті українського ринку проаналізовано інституційні засади формування довіри та роль цифрової стійкості брендів у посткризовий період. Сформульовані висновки підкреслюють, що ефективний цифровий брендинг є результатом синергії між технічною бездоганністю інтерфейсу, соціальною верифікацією та етичною прозорістю інституції. Результати мають практичне значення для розробників маркетингових стратегій, фахівців з комунікацій та дослідників цифрової соціології.

**Ключові слова:** цифровий брендинг, сигнали довіри, візуальна ідентичність, соціальне підтвердження, онлайн-освітні платформи, маркетингові компанії, консалтингові стратегії.

**Statement of the problem.** In the contemporary digital economy, the concept of trust has undergone a profound transformation. No longer confined to interpersonal or institutional relationships, trust now permeates every aspect of online interaction, from e-commerce transactions to social media engagement. Digital branding, as a strategic

domain, has become a primary conduit through which trust is communicated, negotiated, and sustained. Within this framework, trust signals, particularly those embedded in visual identity and social proof, play a pivotal role in shaping user perceptions and influencing behavioral outcomes.

The urgency of this issue is underscored by the increasing reliance on digital platforms for both commercial and informational purposes. As consumers navigate a saturated online environment characterized by algorithmic curation, anonymity, and information overload, the ability of a brand to convey credibility in seconds becomes a competitive imperative. The relevance of trust signals extends into broader socio-economic and institutional domains, particularly in post-crisis environments.

**Analysis of recent research and publications.** The study of trust signals has gained momentum across disciplines. Foundational work by M. Khamitov, Y. Grégoire, and A. Suri [1, p. 519–521] systematized the literature on brand transgressions and trust repair, while S. Chen, Y. Chen, and K. Jebran [2, p. 518–522] articulated psychological mechanisms of persuasion, and examined trust through the lens of corporate social responsibility (CSR). The visual dimension is explored by K. Sokolova and H. Kefi [3], who studied parasocial interaction on Instagram, and by D. Jiménez-Castillo and R. Sánchez-Fernández [4, p. 366–369], who analyzed the impact of digital influencers on engagement. R. B. Cialdini's revised work [5] continues to articulate the psychological mechanisms underpinning trust signaling.

Early empirical evidence on the power of electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) was provided by R. Filieri and F. McLeay [6, p. 44–47]. Industry reports by Oxford Economics and SGTech [7] and practitioner-oriented taxonomies offered by Tydl [8], Trustpilot Business [9], and Winsome Marketing [10] analyze subtle interface elements. K. Tiidenberg, C. bidin, and E. Djafarova et al. [11] explore visual trust on social media, while Robust Branding [12] distinguishes between trust signals and social proof.

In the Ukrainian context, scholars have highlighted the role of digital marketing tools [13] and the diagnosis of employer brand resilience by V. Zakharchenko, O. Bilorus, and I. Firsova [14], while also addressing the intersection of intellectual property and trust by O. V. Zavadenko [15], and motivational factors during crisis by I. Kohut [16].

**Highlighting previously unresolved parts of the overall problem.** Despite these valuable extensive contributions, there is a lack of integrative models that account for the simultaneous interaction between visual, social, and institutional signals within platform-based environments. The subsequent sections address this gap by proposing and applying a structured analytical framework. Existing research often fails to address the contextual dynamics and long-term implications of these signals in hybrid digital ecosystems.

**Formation of the objectives of the article (task statement).** The main goal of this study is to develop a structured analytical framework for identifying and comparing trust signals in digital branding across three interrelated dimensions: visual, social, and institutional. The objective is threefold. First, to systematize existing trust signals into a comparative typology that distinguishes between visual (e.g., logos, color schemes, layout), social (e.g., testimonials, influencer endorsements, eWOM), and institutional (e.g., affiliations, certifications, legal transparency) indicators, drawing on both academic [1; 5; 6] and industry sources [7–12]. Second, to examine how these signals function within educational platforms like Coursera as illustrative cases of hybrid trust ecosystems, where academic legitimacy, user-generated validation, and interface design converge to shape perceived credibility [13–15]. Third, to assess the broader applicability of this framework to commercial and public-sector contexts, including employer branding [14], innovation management [16], and digital consulting, with particular attention to the Ukrainian post-crisis environment.

**Summary of the main research material.** Trust signals function as psychological anchors in decision-making. Visual trust signals are among the most immediate and cognitively efficient mechanisms for establishing credibility in digital environments. As R. B. Cialdini [5] notes, visual cues such as authority symbols and design consistency activate heuristic trust pathways. In branding contexts, visual signals include logos, color palettes, typography, layout symmetry, and interface cleanliness. Tydl [8] emphasizes that trust signals operate as a "non-verbal language," and Winsome Marketing [10] identifies subtle design features, such as badge placement, as critical to perceived trustworthiness. Visual identity elements such as logos, typography, and color schemes serve as immediate cues of professionalism and coherence, while social

proof mechanisms: reviews, endorsements, and follower metrics, offer heuristic validation of trustworthiness. These signals are not merely aesthetic or promotional; they function as psychological anchors in decision-making processes, particularly in contexts of uncertainty or risk.

The relevance of trust signals extends beyond consumer behavior into broader socioeconomic and institutional domains. In post-crisis economies, such as Ukraine's post-2014 and post-pandemic recovery, digital trust-building has emerged as a strategic necessity for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), public institutions, and civil society actors.

Publications in Ukrainian academic journals have highlighted the role of digital branding in enhancing institutional resilience, promoting transparency, and fostering civic engagement in hybrid information environments. The journal "Economy and society" has documented multiple cases where visual coherence and localized social proof mechanisms contributed to increased stakeholder confidence and digital outreach effectiveness. Moreover, the problem intersects with global debates on digital ethics, algorithmic governance, and platform accountability.

As trust becomes commodified and manipulated, through fake reviews, bot-generated engagement, and deceptive design, there is a growing need for scholarly inquiry into the mechanisms, standards, and consequences of trust signaling.

The challenge lies not only in identifying effective trust signals but in understanding their contextual dynamics, cultural variations, and long-term implications for digital ecosystems. This article addresses these concerns by examining the anatomy of trust signals in digital branding, with a focus on visual identity and social proof. It situates the problem within both theoretical and practical frameworks, drawing on interdisciplinary research from marketing, psychology, design studies, and digital sociology.

By synthesizing recent findings and identifying unresolved issues, the article aims to contribute to a more nuanced understanding of how trust is constructed, communicated, and contested in the digital age.

The structure and function of visual trust signals can be systematized into three primary categories: identity markers, interface integrity, and third-party validation (as shown in table 1).

By integrating institutional legitimacy with user-generated validation, such platforms create hybrid trust ecosystems that maintain academic quality while expanding global reach. In the context of Ukrainian digital platforms, such as those analyzed by O. Bolotna, N. Hliebova, and K. Kobrina [13] and V. Zakharchenko, O. Bilorus, and I. Firsova [14], visual trust signals have been instrumental in maintaining user engagement during periods of instability. Social trust signals operate through interpersonal and community-based mechanisms that shape user perceptions of credibility. These signals function through peer validation, including electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM), reviews, and ratings, which significantly reduce consumer uncertainty. K. Sokolova and H. Kefi [3] emphasize the role of parasocial interaction in building emotional proximity between users and influencers. D. Jiménez-Castillo and R. Sánchez-Fernández [4, p. 366-371] demonstrate that perceived influencer credibility enhances brand engagement. R. Filieri and F. McLeay [6, p. 44-47] highlight the persuasive power of electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM), showing that peer reviews significantly influence consumer decisions. Trustpilot Business [9] further confirms that social proof, when presented transparently, can increase conversion rates. K. Tiidenberg, C. Abidin, and E. Djafarova et al. [11] explore how social media aesthetics contribute to perceived trust, while Robust Branding [12] draws a critical distinction between trust signals and social proof.

In the Ukrainian context, V. Zakharchenko, O. Bilorus, and I. Firsova [14] identify employer reviews and HR transparency as key social

Table 1

Categories of visual trust signals in digital branding

Category	Examples of Elements	Trust Function	Sources
Brand identity	Logo, color palette, typography	Recognition, professionalism, consistency	[3], [5], [8]
Interface integrity	Layout symmetry, page structure, readability	Cognitive ease, usability, visual fluency	[10], [12]
Third-party validation	Certification badges, partner logos, affiliations	Transferred trust, legitimacy confirmation	[7], [9], [13]

trust indicators in digital recruitment platforms, a strategy often utilized by companies operating in difficult environments, which I. Kohut [16] discusses in relation to motivation factors during crisis. The challenge lies not only in identifying effective trust signals but in understanding their contextual dynamics, cultural variations, and long-term implications for digital ecosystems. Despite extensive research, there is a lack of integrative models that account for the interaction between visual, social, and institutional signals within platform-based environments. These social elements can be categorized into three functional groups (as shown in table 2).

Institutional trust foundations [17] and ethical business practices are critical in both Ukrainian and US contexts. Research [18] confirms that social irresponsibility offsets visual advantages, showing a cross-cultural pattern of consumer awareness in digital branding.

The integration of localized institutional frameworks [17] alongside global ethical standards [18] highlights the necessity for a balanced trust strategy. This approach ensures that visual identity remains grounded in genuine corporate accountability, fostering long-term digital resilience. The research highlights that social trust signals are not static; they function as dynamic drivers of brand engagement. As D. Jiménez-Castillo and R. Sánchez-Fernández [4] demonstrate, the credibility of these signals is intrinsically linked to perceived authenticity. This is particularly evident in the case of Coursera, which has successfully transformed from a content provider into a "trust infrastructure." By synthesizing institutional signals (university affiliations) with social proof (peer ratings), Coursera creates a hybrid ecosystem where trust is scalable and verifiable.

Furthermore, the study identifies a critical shift in institutional resilience within the Ukrainian digital landscape. As noted by O. Bolotna et al. [13] and V.Zakharchenko et al. [14], in periods of high uncertainty, digital branding serves as a stabilizing factor. For Ukrainian SMEs and public

institutions, the strategic use of visual coherence and transparent social proof is not merely a marketing choice but a necessity for maintaining stakeholder confidence. This alignment between interface integrity and social validation forms the core of the proposed analytical framework, addressing the gaps identified in current interdisciplinary literature. The practical application of these signals is exemplified by the case of Coursera, which serves as a global model for scalable trust in the digital education sector. The platform demonstrates how institutional legitimacy through university affiliations, a form of third-party validation [7; 9] and social proof via course ratings and reviews [6; 12] create a hybrid trust ecosystem. By integrating these elements, Coursera transforms from a mere content provider into a trusted infrastructure, aligning with the principles of visual and social coherence discussed by R. B. Cialdini [5] and Tydl [8]. In the context of institutional resilience, digital trust-building has emerged as a strategic necessity, particularly in post-crisis environments like Ukraine. As analyzed by O. Bolotna et al. [13] and V.Zakharchenko et al. [14], visual trust signals and localized social proof are instrumental in maintaining user engagement during periods of instability. The implementation of transparent communication and brand resilience strategies, as discussed by T. Bilorus [14] and I. Kohut [16], allows institutions to maintain stakeholder confidence even in hybrid information environments.

**Conclusions.** This study concludes that trust in digital branding is a multidimensional construct, composed of visual, social, and institutional signals that interact across perceptual, relational, and structural levels. The research demonstrates that credibility is not merely a product of aesthetics, but a function of strict alignment between interface design, social validation, and institutional integrity. The developed framework provides a practical tool for analyzing how trust is constructed and contested in digital ecosystems. Looking ahead,

Table 2

Categories of social trust signals in the digital environment

Category	Examples of Elements	Trust Function	Sources
Influencer credibility	Parasocial interaction, follower engagement	Emotional proximity, perceived authenticity	[3; 4; 11; 18]
Peer validation	Reviews, ratings, testimonials	Social proof, reduced uncertainty	[6; 9; 12]
Internal transparency	Employee feedback, HR disclosures, team visibility	Institutional openness, employer brand resilience	[14-17]



it is essential to explore how trust signals evolve in response to emerging technologies, such as AI-driven personalization and algorithmic governance. Ultimately, the ability to design trust signals with precision and ethical accountability will define the long-term viability of digital brands and institutions in an increasingly complex digital landscape.

Ultimately, cultivating trust in digital spaces is not only a strategic imperative but an ethical one. As users become more discerning and digital environments more complex, the ability to design and evaluate trust signals with precision, accountability, and cultural sensitivity will define the credibility of digital brands and institutions alike.

#### REFERENCES:

1. Khamitov, M., Grégoire, Y., & Suri, A. (2020). A systematic review of brand transgression, service failure recovery and product-harm crisis: Integration and guiding insights. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 48, pp. 519–542. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00679-1>.
2. Chen, S., Chen, Y., & Jebran, K. (2021). Trust and corporate social responsibility: From expected utility and social normative perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 134, pp. 518–530. Available at: <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eeee/jbresa/v134y2021icp518-530.html> (accessed November 06, 2025).
3. Sokolova, K., & Kefi, H. (2020). Instagram and YouTube bloggers promote it, why should I buy? How credibility and parasocial interaction influence purchase intentions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, vol. 53, p. 101742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.01.011>.
4. Jiménez-Castillo, D., & Sánchez-Fernández, R. (2019). The role of digital influencers in brand recommendation: Examining their impact on engagement, expected value and purchase intention. *International Journal of Information Management*, vol. 49, pp. 366–376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.07.009>.
5. Cialdini, R. B. (2021). *Influence: The Psychology of Persuasion* (Rev. ed.). Harper Business. Available at: <https://www.harpercollins.com/products/influence-robert-b-cialdini> (accessed November 10, 2025).
6. Filieri, R., & McLeay, F. (2013). E-WOM and accommodation: An analysis of the factors that influence travelers' adoption of information from online reviews. *Journal of Travel Research*, vol. 53(1), pp. 44–57. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287513481274>.
7. Oxford Economics. (2024). *The Digital Trust Workforce: Global Edition*. In collaboration with SGTech. Available at: <https://www.oxfordeconomics.com/resource/the-digital-trust-workforce-global-edition/> (accessed November 12, 2025).
8. Tydl. (2025). Trust Signals: The Unspoken Language of Brands. Available at: <https://www.tydl.io/blog/branding-and-marketing-strategy/trust-signals-the-unspoken-language-of-brands/> (accessed November 13, 2025).
9. Trustpilot Business. (2020). The psychology behind trust signals: Why and how social proof influences consumers. Available at: <https://business.trustpilot.com/guides-reports/build-trusted-brand/why-and-how-social-proof-influences-consumers> (accessed November 14, 2025).
10. Winsome Marketing. (2025). The Psychology of Trust Signals: Subtle Website Elements That Build Credibility. Available at: <https://winsomemarketing.com/professional-services-marketing/the-psychology-of-trust-signals-subtle-website-elements-that-build-credibility> (accessed November 15, 2025).
11. Tiidenberg, K., Abidin, C., Djafarova, E., et al. (2024). Visual Trust on Social Media – Meaning, Money and Motivation. *Aoir Selected Papers of Internet Research*. Available at: <https://spir.aoir.org/ojs/index.php/spir/article/view/14087> (accessed November 16, 2025).
12. Robust Branding. (2025). Trust Signals vs. Social Proof: Key Differences. Available at: <https://robustbranding.com/trust-signals-vs-social-proof-key-differences/> (accessed November 17, 2025).
13. Bolotna, O., Hliebova, N., & Kobrina, K. (2025). Vplyv instrumentiv tsyfrovoho marketynhu na rezultaty biznesu v umovakh tsyfrovizatsii [The impact of digital marketing tools on business performance in the context of digitalization]. *Ekonomika ta suspilstvo*, vol. 79. <https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2025-79-152>. (in Ukrainian)
14. Bilorus, T. (2025). Diahostyka ryzykostiynosti brendu robotodavtsya: metodychnyy pidkhid [The employer brand risk resilience diagnostics: Methodical approach]. *Economy and Society*, vol. 79. DOI: 10.32782/2524-0072/2025-79-1. (in Ukrainian)
15. Zavadenko, O. (2025). Intelektualna vlasnist v kreatyvnomu sektori ekonomiky: perspektyvy i vyklyky [Intellectual property in the creative sector of the economy: Prospects and challenges]. *Economy and Society*, vol. 79. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2025-79-35>. (in Ukrainian)
16. Kohut, I. (2022). Analiz faktoriv motyvatsii, yaki vplyvaiut na komandy v innovatsiinykh proiektakh pid chas kryzy ta viiny [Analysis of motivation factors affecting teams in innovation projects during crisis and war]. *Ekonomika ta suspilstvo*, vol. 40. <https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2022-40-16>. (in Ukrainian)

17. Olinichenko, K. S., & Lysyi, Ya. S. (2025). Stan ta perspektyvy rozvytku instytutuinykh zasad formuvannia doviry spozhyvachiv do brendu pidpriemstva [State and prospects for the development of institutional foundations for the formation of consumer trust in the corporate brand]. *Economics Achievements: Prospects and Innovations*, (13). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15643675>. (in Ukrainian).

Luan, C. C., & Zhang, S. (2026). Consumer evaluation of corporate social irresponsibility (CSIR): The roles of CSIR type, brand awareness, and domain congruence. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 88, 104510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2025.104510>.

#### СПИСОК ВИКОРИСТАНИХ ДЖЕРЕЛ:

1. Khamitov M., Grégoire Y., Suri A. A systematic review of brand transgression, service failure recovery and product-harm crisis: integration and guiding insights. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 2020. Vol. 48. P. 519–542. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00679-1>.

2. Chen, S., Chen Y., Jebran K. Trust and corporate social responsibility: From expected utility and social normative perspective. *Journal of Business Research*. 2021. Vol. 134. P. 518–530. URL: <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/jbrese/v134y221icp518-530.html> (accessed: 06.11.2025).

3. Sokolova K., Kefi H. Instagram and YouTube bloggers promote it, why should I buy? How credibility and parasocial interaction influence purchase intentions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*. 2020. Vol. 53. Article 101742. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.01.011>.

4. Jiménez-Castillo D., Sánchez-Fernández R. The role of digital influencers in brand recommendation: Examining their impact on engagement, expected value and purchase intention. *International Journal of Information Management*. 2019. Vol. 49. P. 366–376. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.07.009>.

5. Cialdini R. B. Influence: The Psychology of Persuasion. Rev. ed. New York: Harper Business, 2021. 279 с. URL: <https://www.harpercollins.com/products/influence-robert-b-cialdini> (accessed: 10.11.2025).

6. Filieri R., McLeay F. E-WOM and accommodation: An analysis of the factors that influence travelers' adoption of information from online reviews. *Journal of Travel Research*. 2013. Vol. 53(1). P. 44–57. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287513481274>.

7. Oxford Economics. The Digital Trust Workforce: Global Edition. У співпраці з SGTech. 2024. URL: <https://www.oxfordeconomics.com/resource/the-digital-trust-workforce-global-edition/> (accessed: 12.11.2025).

8. Tydl. Trust Signals: The Unspoken Language of Brands. 2025. URL: <https://www.tydl.io/blog/branding-and-marketing-strategy/trust-signals-the-unspoken-language-of-brands/> (accessed: 13.11.2025).

9. Trustpilot Business. The psychology behind trust signals: Why and how social proof influences consumers. 2020. URL: <https://business.trustpilot.com/guides-reports/build-trusted-brand/why-and-how-social-proof-influences-consumers> (accessed: 14.11.2025).

10. Winsome Marketing. The Psychology of Trust Signals: Subtle Website Elements That Build Credibility. 2025. URL: <https://winsomemarketing.com/professional-services-marketing/the-psychology-of-trust-signals-subtle-website-elements-that-build-credibility> (accessed: 15.11.2025).

11. Tiidenberg K., Abidin C., Djafarova E., та ін. Visual Trust on Social Media – Meaning, Money and Motivation. *AoIR Selected Papers of Internet Research*. 2024. URL: <https://spir.aoir.org/ojs/index.php/spir/article/view/14087> (accessed: 16.11.2025).

12. Robust Branding. Trust Signals vs. Social Proof: Key Differences. 2025. URL: <https://robustbranding.com/trust-signals-vs-social-proof-key-differences/> (accessed: 17.11.2025).

13. Болотна О., Глебова Н., Кобріна К. Вплив інструментів цифрового маркетингу на результати бізнесу в умовах цифровізації. *Економіка та суспільство*. 2025. № 79. URL: <https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2025-79-152>.

14. Білорус Т. Діагностика ризикостійкості бренду роботодавця: методичний підхід. *Економіка та суспільство*. 2025. № 79. DOI: 10.32782/2524-0072/2025-79-1.

15. Заваденко О. В. Інтелектуальна власність в креативному секторі економіки: перспективи і виклики. *Економіка та суспільство*. 2023. № 79. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2025-79-35>.

16. Когут І. Аналіз факторів мотивації, які впливають на команди в інноваційних проєктах під час кризи та війни. *Економіка та суспільство*. 2022. № 40. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2022-40-16>.

17. Олініченко К. С., Лисий Я. С. Стан та перспективи розвитку інституційних засад формування довіри споживачів до бренду підприємства. *Здобутки економіки: перспективи та інновації*. 2025. № 13. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15643675>.

18. Luan C. C., Zhang S. Consumer evaluation of corporate social irresponsibility (CSIR): The roles of CSIR type, brand awareness, and domain congruence. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*. 2026. Vol. 88. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2025.104510>.

Дата надходження статті: 01.11.2025

Дата прийняття статті: 12.11.2025

Дата публікації статті: 24.11.2025