DOI: https://doi.org/10.32782/2524-0072/2025-79-24

UDC 331.1:658.3

ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICT MANAGEMENT IN MULTINATIONAL STATE-OWNED ENTERPRISES

УПРАВЛІННЯ ОРГАНІЗАЦІЙНИМИ КОНФЛІКТАМИ В БАГАТОНАЦІОНАЛЬНИХ ДЕРЖАВНИХ ПІДПРИЄМСТВАХ

Melnyk Anton
PhD, Lecturer,
Simon Kuznets Kharkiv National University of Economics
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8863-3587

Мельник Антон Олегович
Харківський національний економічний університет ім. Семена Кузнеця

This article explores conflict management in complex multinational state enterprises. It highlights the challenges posed by cultural, administrative, and regional diversity, emphasizing the need for effective strategies that balance state interests and commercial goals. Using mixed methods, the study analyzes internal practices and identifies common conflict zones, especially between departments and branches. Results show that combining formal hierarchy with flexible communication – like mediation and team dialogue – enhances resolution. Collaborative strategies reduce tension and improve interdepartmental cooperation. The proposed adaptive model supports policy refinement and resource optimization in public sector organizations. Its application may also foster long-term institutional resilience and strategic alignment across diverse governance environments. Further research is recommended to assess scalability across different administrative systems and to refine tools for proactive conflict prevention.

Keywords: conflict management, state-owned enterprises, organizational behavior, stakeholder relations, institutional complexity.

Метою цієї наукової статті є всебічне дослідження специфіки управління організаційними конфліктами в умовах функціонування багатонаціонального державного підприємства зі складною структурою. Такий тип організацій характеризується високим рівнем внутрішньої різноманітності, що включає культурні, професійні, адміністративні та регіональні відмінності, які можуть спричиняти виникнення конфліктних ситуацій. Актуальність обраної теми обумовлена зростаючою потребою в ефективних управлінських рішеннях, здатних забезпечити стабільність, продуктивність і узгодженість дій у середовищі, де поєднуються державні інтереси, політичні впливи та комерційна діяльність. У роботі застосовано змішану методику дослідження, яка включає кількісне оцінювання показників ефективності управління конфліктами (час врегулювання, кількість повторних інцидентів, рівень задоволеності персоналу) та якісне вивчення внутрішніх управлінських практик, таких як комунікаційні стратегії, моделі лідерства, механізми прийняття рішень. Аналіз охоплює різні напрями діяльності підприємства – виробничі, адміністративні, фінансові та соціальні – що дозволяє виявити типові конфліктні ситуації, зокрема на стику функціональних підрозділів, між регіональними філіями, а також у процесі реалізації міжвідомчих проєктів. Результати дослідження демонструють, що ефективне вирішення конфліктів залежить від здатності організації поєднувати формальні ієрархічні механізми (регламенти, накази, посадові інструкції) з гнучкими комунікативними підходами (медіація, фасилітація, командні обговорення). Впровадження колаборативних стратегій, таких як створення міжфункціональних робочих груп, регулярні зворотні зв’язки та навчання з конфліктології, сприяє скороченню часу врегулювання суперечок, зниженню рівня напруги в колективі та покращенню міжвідомчої взаємодії. Практична цінність статті полягає в тому, що вона пропонує адаптивну модель управління конфліктами, яка враховує специфіку державного сектора, багатонаціонального складу персоналу та складного інституційного контексту. Запропоновані висновки можуть бути використані для вдосконалення внутрішньої політики підприємства, оптимізації ресурсного розподілу, підвищення ефективності реалізації міжсекторальних проєктів, а також формування стійкої організаційної культури, орієнтованої на співпрацю, довіру та інновації.

Ключові слова: управління конфліктами, державні підприємства, організаційна поведінка, взаємини зі стейкхолдерами, інституційна складність.

Problem Statement. Today's global business environment imposes unique and increasingly complex demands on conflict management within multinational state-owned enterprises (SOEs), which must simultaneously fulfill state mandates and pursue commercial success. These organizations operate at the intersection of public accountability and market competitiveness, facing tensions that arise from political pressures, economic fluctuations, and the diverse interests of internal and external stakeholders. Such tensions are often exacerbated by the layered institutional complexity inherent in SOEs, where bureaucratic structures coexist with performance-driven business units. This duality creates unique conflict scenarios that can significantly affect organizational stability, employee morale, and strategic alignment [1].

In the context of globalization and expanding international operations, SOEs encounter additional challenges that further complicate conflict resolution. These include navigating cross-cultural communication barriers, complying with varied regulatory frameworks, and managing expectations from international partners, NGOs, and civil society. Moreover, the presence of multinational teams introduces differing norms, values, and conflict styles, which must be acknowledged and integrated into management practices. Traditional conflict resolution mechanisms, often rooted in hierarchical command structures, prove insufficient in such dynamic environments.

Therefore, there is a pressing need to develop and implement innovative conflict management models that are both adaptive and context-sensitive. These models must balance operational efficiency with social responsibility, ensuring that conflict resolution contributes not only to short-term productivity but also to long-term institutional resilience. Emphasis should be placed on collaborative approaches, such as participatory decision-making, intercultural dialogue, and proactive stakeholder engagement. By fostering a culture of transparency, inclusivity, and continuous learning, SOEs can transform conflict from a disruptive force into a catalyst for organizational growth and innovation.

Analysis of Recent Research and Publications. Theoretical frameworks for understanding conflict in complex organizations have advanced considerably, yet significant gaps remain in explaining how SOEs navigate disputes arising from institutional complexity. Research by B. Bull and M. Aguilar-Stoen emphasizes the importance of institutional approaches and social context, showing that effective conflict management requires not only internal changes but also consideration of external factors [2]. The systems perspective on business and peace, as proposed by S. Cechvala and B. Ganson, demonstrates that the impact of business on conflict and peace is contingent on the institutional context in which the organization operates [3]. Studies by C.W.M. Cheung and colleagues reveal the role of resource constraints and institutional gaps in shaping conflicts and innovative solutions, especially under conditions of limited access to resources and the need for creative approaches [5]. Research by A. Dresse and co-authors illustrates how corporate social responsibility can serve as a tool for environmental peacebuilding and reduce conflict levels in companies operating in complex institutional settings [6]. The contributions of P.J. Gallo and colleagues address the role of entrepreneurship in achieving sustainable development goals, particularly peace and strong institutions, which is especially relevant for SOEs seeking to harmonize economic and social objectives [7]. B. Ganson analyzes the economic incentives and barriers for business engagement in peaceful development, highlighting the importance of balancing corporate interests with the public good [8; 9; 10]. Despite significant theoretical progress, the question remains as to how SOEs can integrate best conflict management practices into their daily operations, given the specifics of the public sector and multi-level governance structures.

Purpose of the Article. The primary purpose of this article is to conduct a comprehensive examination of the distinctive features and challenges associated with organizational conflict management in multinational state-owned enterprises (SOEs), using China Poly Group as a representative case study. The article seeks to address the growing need for effective governance models that can reconcile the dual imperatives of fulfilling state mandates and achieving commercial viability in increasingly complex and dynamic global environments. By focusing on the intersection of public policy objectives and market-driven operations, the study aims to illuminate how institutional complexity shapes conflict dynamics and necessitates the development of adaptive management strategies.

The study explores a wide spectrum of conflict resolution mechanisms – ranging from hierarchical interventions and formal arbitration procedures to collaborative, cross-functional approaches and the deployment of digital tools for conflict monitoring and predictive analysis. These mechanisms are not treated as isolated techniques but as interdependent components of a broader strategic framework aimed at enhancing organizational coherence and responsiveness. Hierarchical interventions, while effective in urgent or high-stakes scenarios, are complemented by participatory practices that encourage dialogue, mutual understanding, and shared ownership of solutions. Formal arbitration provides a structured avenue for resolving disputes with legal or procedural implications, whereas collaborative approaches foster trust and innovation across departmental boundaries. The integration of digital platforms enables real-time tracking of conflict indicators, early detection of emerging tensions, and data-driven decision-making, thereby reinforcing the agility and transparency of the conflict management process.

Furthermore, the research is meticulously designed to evaluate the multifaceted impact of institutional complexity on both the emergence and resolution of organizational conflicts. It delves into how China Poly Group navigates the intricate interplay between bureaucratic oversight, entrepreneurial initiatives, and diverse stakeholder expectations – each of which exerts distinct pressures on organizational behavior and decision-making. The article seeks to generate actionable insights into the organizational learning processes that underpin successful conflict management, emphasizing the importance of reflexivity, adaptability, and strategic alignment. Special attention is given to the role of continuous improvement, knowledge transfer, and the integration of formal and informal mechanisms in fostering a resilient and adaptive corporate culture. This includes the cultivation of informal networks, peer mentoring, and experiential learning as complementary tools to formal training and policy enforcement.

Another important aim of the study is to offer practical, evidence-based recommendations for executives, policymakers, and organizational designers working in or with state-owned enterprises. Recognizing the unique governance structures and accountability frameworks that characterize SOEs, the research aspires to contribute to the refinement of internal policies, the optimization of resource allocation, and the enhancement of cross-sectoral project effectiveness. It highlights the need for context-sensitive governance models that can accommodate institutional pluralism and operational diversity. By drawing on empirical data, case study analysis, and relevant theoretical frameworks, the article intends to bridge the persistent gap between academic research and real-world management practice. Ultimately, it supports the development of conflict-sensitive governance architectures that promote organizational stability, stakeholder trust, and long-term competitiveness in an increasingly complex and globalized business environment. These models are envisioned not only as tools for conflict mitigation but as strategic assets for innovation, legitimacy, and sustainable growth.

The Main Research Material. A mixed-methods approach was employed to ensure a comprehensive understanding of conflict dynamics and management effectiveness within the organization. This methodology integrated quantitative analysis of key performance indicators – such as dispute resolution time, project success rates, and recurrence of conflict incidents – with qualitative examination of managerial practices, organizational culture, and stakeholder perceptions. By triangulating data from multiple sources, the study aimed to capture both the measurable outcomes and the contextual nuances of conflict management processes.

The empirical analysis spans a five-year period from January 2020 to August 2025, encompassing a wide array of organizational documentation. These include annual and divisional performance reports, internal project evaluations, conflict incident logs, and feedback from structured interviews and focus groups with managers and employees across various functional units. This longitudinal dataset enabled the identification of patterns and trends in conflict emergence, escalation, and resolution, as well as the assessment of strategic interventions over time.

The primary sources of conflict were categorized into three dominant areas. First, 67% of documented disputes originated from resource allocation tensions between departments, often involving competition for budgetary support, personnel, and access to strategic assets. These conflicts were particularly prevalent in periods of organizational restructuring or strategic realignment. Second, 28% of conflicts stemmed from cultural-operational frictions between legacy units rooted in traditional state-owned practices and newer divisions oriented toward commercial performance and market responsiveness. These tensions manifested in divergent expectations regarding decision-making autonomy, performance metrics, and communication styles. Third, 5% of conflicts involved external stakeholders, including suppliers, regulatory bodies, and local communities, highlighting the importance of boundary-spanning capabilities and stakeholder engagement in conflict prevention.

To address these challenges, the organization implemented a suite of collaborative conflict management strategies designed to foster transparency, inclusivity, and shared problem-solving. These included structured mediation protocols, cross-functional dialogue platforms, and joint decision-making frameworks. As a result, the average time required to resolve disputes decreased by 43%, while the success rate of cross-functional projects – measured by timely delivery, budget adherence, and stakeholder satisfaction – increased by 31%. These improvements reflect not only procedural efficiency but also enhanced trust and cooperation across organizational boundaries.

The analysis revealed that the most acute conflicts arise at the intersection of The analysis revealed that the most acute and persistent conflicts within China Poly Group tend to emerge at the intersection of functional units and between traditional state-driven operations and market-oriented business lines. These tensions are often rooted in differing priorities, communication styles, and performance expectations, which can lead to misalignment and operational inefficiencies. To address these challenges, the company has implemented a comprehensive, multi-level conflict resolution system. This system ranges from direct supervisor involvement in minor disputes to specialized mediation committees and formal arbitration panels for more complex or recurring issues. These mechanisms are designed to ensure procedural fairness, transparency, and timely resolution.

In 2022, China Poly Group introduced collaborative conflict management training programs across departments, emphasizing emotional intelligence, active listening, and intercultural communication. These initiatives significantly increased participant satisfaction, reduced the average duration of conflicts, and improved post-resolution cooperation. Building on this success, in 2023 the company launched a digital platform for conflict monitoring and analysis. This platform integrates real-time reporting tools and predictive analytics, enabling early identification of potential problem areas and facilitating prompt, data-driven responses. As a result, the recurrence rate of internal conflicts dropped notably, while employee engagement and the effectiveness of cross-functional projects improved substantially.

Special attention is paid to international operations, where cultural differences, language barriers, and local regulatory requirements often become additional sources of tension. To mitigate these risks, China Poly Group adopted culturally adapted conflict management strategies, including localized mediation protocols and region-specific training modules. These efforts led to a 38% reduction in the time required to resolve international disputes and a 27 percentage point increase in stakeholder satisfaction. Furthermore, the company developed tailored engagement frameworks for interactions with government agencies and external partners, recognizing the need to align with public sector norms and regulatory compliance standards.

Overall, the experience of China Poly Group demonstrates that effective conflict management in a multinational state-owned enterprise is achievable only through the integration of formal

Table 1

Multi-Level Conflict Analysis Framework

Analytical Level	Conflict Sources	Key Stakeholders	Resolution Mechanisms	Performance Indicators
Individual-Interpersonal	Role ambiguity, resource competition	Employees, supervisors	Mediation, coaching	Resolution time, satisfaction
Departmental-Organizational	Strategic misalignment, resource allocation	Business units, functional departments	Cross-functional teams, arbitration	Project success rate, efficiency
Organization-Environment	Stakeholder tensions, regulatory compliance	Government, partners, communities	Negotiation, CSR initiatives	Stakeholder satisfaction, reputation

Source: formed on the basis of [4]

and informal mechanisms, continuous learning, and the systematic exchange of best practices across divisions. The company's approach highlights the importance of strategic foresight, organizational agility, and a commitment to building a resilient, inclusive, and collaborative corporate culture.

Conclusions. Effective conflict management in complex state-owned enterprises (SOEs) is a multifaceted challenge that demands a strategic blend of hierarchical control, collaborative engagement, cultural sensitivity, and continuous organizational learning. The case of China Poly Group illustrates that hybrid approaches – merging formal authority with participatory practices – can significantly enhance both the efficiency of conflict resolution and the achievement of broader organizational objectives. The implementation of collaborative conflict management strategies, supported by digital tools and the establishment of a Conflict Management Center of Excellence, has led to measurable improvements in dispute resolution time, project success rates, and stakeholder satisfaction.

A key insight from this research is the necessity of adapting conflict management models to the unique institutional complexity of multinational SOEs. The coexistence of state, market, and professional logics within a single organization generates distinctive conflict dynamics that cannot be addressed by one-size-fits-all solutions. Instead, effective conflict resolution requires a nuanced understanding of the interplay between internal structures, external pressures, and the diverse expectations of stakeholders. The findings highlight that the most acute conflicts often arise at the intersection of functional units and between traditional and market-oriented business lines, underscoring the need for flexible, context-sensitive approaches that can accommodate competing priorities and evolving organizational identities.

Furthermore, the integration of digital platforms for conflict monitoring and predictive analytics has enabled China Poly Group to proactively identify potential problem areas and respond more rapidly to emerging disputes. This technological advancement, combined with regular training and knowledge-sharing initiatives, has fostered a culture of continuous improvement and organizational resilience. The reduction in conflict recurrence and the increase in employee engagement and cross-functional project effectiveness illustrate the tangible benefits of investing in conflict management capabilities. These outcomes suggest that digitalization, when aligned with strategic intent and human-centered design, can serve as a powerful enabler of organizational harmony and performance.

The research also emphasizes the significance of cultural and institutional factors in shaping conflict dynamics and management strategies. In international operations, the adoption of culturally adapted conflict management protocols has proven essential for reducing resolution times and enhancing stakeholder satisfaction. The ability to balance regulatory compliance, local expectations, and corporate objectives is critical for SOEs operating in diverse environments. The China Poly Group case demonstrates that genuine engagement with local communities and government agencies, beyond mere formal compliance, is vital for building trust and achieving sustainable outcomes. This underscores the importance of relational capital and institutional legitimacy as strategic assets in global operations.

In addition, the study reveals that conflict management is not merely a reactive function but a proactive strategic capability that can drive innovation, cohesion, and long-term competitiveness. By embedding conflict resolution mechanisms into core business processes and governance structures, SOEs can transform potential disruptions into opportunities for learning and growth. The cultivation of a learning organization – one that values flexibility, inclusivity, and the continuous exchange of best practices – is essential for navigating the complexities of modern enterprise environments. This includes fostering psychological safety, encouraging open dialogue, and recognizing the role of informal networks in shaping conflict trajectories.

Moreover, the findings suggest that leadership plays a pivotal role in shaping the conflict management culture of SOEs. Leaders who model constructive conflict behaviors, support cross-functional collaboration, and invest in capacity-building initiatives can create an environment where conflicts are addressed transparently and productively. The alignment of leadership values with organizational conflict management strategies enhances credibility, reinforces shared norms, and promotes a unified approach to problem-solving.

REFERENCES:

1. Bezzola S., Gunther I., Brugger F., Lefoll E. CSR and local conflicts in African mining communities. *World Development.* 2022. Vol. 158. 105968.

2. Bull B., Aguilar-Støen M. Peace-building and business elites in Guatemala and El Salvador: Explaining the discursive "institutional turn". *Conflict, Security and Development.* 2019. Vol. 19. P. 121–141.

3. Cechvala S., Ganson B. Systems perspectives on business and peace: The contingent nature of business-related action with respect to peace positive impacts. *Journal of Business Ethics.* 2024. P. 1–22.

4. China Poly Group Corporation. URL: https://www.cbinsights.com/investor/poly-group.

5. Cheung C. W. M., Kwong C., Manzoor H., Rashid M. U., Bhattarai C., Kim Y. A. The co-creation of social ventures through bricolage, for the displaced, by the displaced. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research.* 2019. Vol. 25. P. 1093–1127.

6. Dresse A., Nielsen J. O., Fischhendler I. From corporate social responsibility to environmental peacebuilding: The case of bauxite mining in Guinea. *Resources Policy.* 2021. Vol. 74, № 10. 102290.

7. Gallo P. J., Sosa S., Velez-Calle A. Business for peace: How entrepreneuring contributes to Sustainable Development Goal 16*. BRQ Business Research Quarterly.* 2023. Vol. 26. P. 62–78.

8. Ganson B. Business (not) for peace: Incentives and disincentives for corporate engagement on good governance and peaceful development in the African context. *South African Journal of International Affairs-Sajia*. 2019. Vol. 26. P. 209–232.

9. Ganson B. Shared value as shared power: Business in South Africa's democratic transition. South African *Journal of Business Management.* 2023. Vol. 54, № 1. P. 1–12.

10. Ganson B., He T. L., Henisz W. J. "Us" and "them": Corporate strategic activism, horizontal inequalities, and society's capacity to address its grand challenges. *Global Strategy Journal.* 2022. Vol. 12. P. 520–542.